1	STATE OF ALASKA		
2	THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA		
3	Before Commissioners: Stephen McAlpine, Chairman		
4	Rebecca L. Pauli Robert M. Pickett		
5	Norman Rokeberg Janis W. Wilson		
6	In the Matter of the Joint Application Filed by Hydro) One Limited and Avista Corporation for Authority)		
7	to Acquire a Controlling Interest in ALASKA)U-17-097ELECTRIC LIGHT & POWER COMPANY)		
8	ý		
9	APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS		
10	I. Introduction.		
11 12	On December 5, 2017, Congressman Don Young's office filed comments with the Regulatory Commission of Alaska ("Commission") regarding the Hydro One Limited ("Hydro		
12			
14	One") and Avista Corporation ("Avista") (collectively, the "Applicants") joint application for		
15	authorization to acquire a controlling interest in Alaska Electric Light and Power Company		
16	("AELP") ("Application"). The Applicants submit this reply to Congressman Young's		
17	comments. ¹		
18	In reference to the Proposed Transaction described in the Application,		
19	Congressman Young's letter stated, "I have no objection or comment on this sale other than to		
20	insure that the Snettisham Hydroelectric Facilities remain in public hands." ² However,		
21	Congressman Young's letter urged the Commission to "consider using its authority to protect the		
22	public's interest and require the divestiture of the Snettisham asset option as a condition of the		
23	public s interest and require the divestiture of the shettisham asset option as a condition of the		
24	¹ The Applicants will reply to other comments in this docket following the end of the public comment period		
	comment period.		

² Congressman Young Comments at 2.

26

25

KEMPPEL, HUFFMAN AND ELLIS A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 255 E. FIREWEED LANE, SUITE 200 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2025 (907) 277-1604

> December 11, 2017 Page 1 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

7 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 AND ELLIS - CORPORATION LANE, SUITE 200 SKA 99503-2025 77-1604 17 18 KEMPPEL, HUFFMAN 19 A PROFESSIONAL CO 255 E. FIREWEED LA ANCHORAGE, ALASK (907) 277-20 21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

sale's approval."³ The Applicants agree with Congressman Young's letter that the Snettisham Hydroelectric Project ("Snettisham") should be preserved for the benefit of Alaskan utility rate payers so that it can continue to provide low cost power for Juneau. The Applicants also agree that Snettisham should remain in local ownership. As discussed below, however, those concerns are fully addressed by existing protections. Accordingly, the proposed condition is not necessary or appropriate.

Hydro One's proposed acquisition of Avista Corporation has no impact on existing rights and obligations regarding Snettisham. When the Proposed Transaction closes, Hydro One will simply replace current institutional and retail investors as the ultimate owner of Avista. Avista, AERC, and AELP all will continue operating as they do today. The transaction preserves the status quo and over the long term, likely will provide benefits to ratepayers in Juneau. As it does today, AELP will continue to manage the utility and will continue to have certain rights and obligations relating to Snettisham. Avista has not inserted itself into AELP management and neither will Hydro One, because the structure of the merger leaves in place local control. It is also important that the merger is not predicated on synergies, and instead is built on the benefits of scale that could flow down to Alaskan ratepayers.

After Hydro One's acquisition of Avista Corporation, Snettisham will continue to serve the people of Juneau and, importantly, the Commission will continue to regulate AELP's rates and determine what level of rate recovery will be allowed for Snettisham costs. Since the Proposed Transaction would not alter the *status quo*, there is no need to disrupt the balance that

23

24

25

26

JICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 2 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

 $^{^{3}}$ *Id.* at 1.

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

has been struck among the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority ("AIDEA"), AELP, and the City and Borough of Juneau ("CBJ").

Hydro One is not a governmental entity. It used to be a Crown corporation but that is no longer the case. Private investors hold more than half of Hydro One's shares. Pursuant to the Province of Ontario's governance agreement with Hydro One, it does not hold or exercise any managerial oversight over Hydro One. Accordingly, following the merger, the Province will not hold or exercise any managerial oversight or control over AELP.

As detailed below, the proposed Snettisham condition is unnecessary and inappropriate because:

• Alaska statute and prior Commission orders regarding Snettisham already ensure that the public interest is fully protected because any disposition of Snettisham would be subject to prior Commission review and approval.

AELP and the CBJ entered into an agreement in 1998 that, in the event of a proposed transfer of Snettisham, preserves the benefits of Snettisham for Juneau customers and grants the CBJ a right of first refusal to purchase Snettisham.

• The Commission will retain full regulatory oversight of AELP, including the authority to disallow rate recovery for costs that are not appropriate.

APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 3 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

II. Discussion.

2 ||

A. Background regarding Snettisham.

Snettisham consists of a 73 MW hydroelectric power plant located approximately 30 miles south of Juneau, approximately 44 miles of transmission lines, and related substation and other facilities. Snettisham supplies approximately two-thirds of AELP's energy requirements. Snettisham was owned by the federal government until 1998, when the project was purchased from the federal Alaska Power Administration by AIDEA.

AIDEA's purchase of Snettisham was financed with the proceeds of tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by AIDEA, with payment on those bonds secured by the revenues of a Commission-approved power sales agreement between AIDEA and AELP ("PSA").⁴ Under the PSA, AELP is obligated and entitled to purchase the entire generation and transmission capability of Snettisham on a "take or pay" basis.⁵ Snettisham was refinanced in 2015, with AIDEA's issuance of approximately \$66 million in bonds. Those bonds are not scheduled to be paid off until 2034.

AELP is obligated to pay all principal, interest, and other costs associated with the Snettisham bonds.⁶ In addition, AELP is obligated to operate and maintain Snettisham, pay all operating and capital costs associated with Snettisham, and reimburse all of AIDEA's Snettisham-related administrative costs.⁷ AIDEA holds Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CPCN") No. 549 to provide wholesale electric service from Snettisham to AELP ⁴ Order No. U-97-245(1) (Jun. 24,1998), Appendix at 3.

⁵ *Id.*, Appendix at 2.

⁶ Id.

| ⁷ *Id*.

APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 4 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

LAW OFFICES OF LAW OFFICES OF A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 255 E. FIREWEED LANE, SUITE 200 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2025 (907) 277-1604 under the PSA.⁸ Because AIDEA is a certificated public utility, any disposition of Snettisham is subject to review by the Commission.⁹ The Proposed Transaction will not alter any of these arrangements and obligations.

B. Alaska statute and prior Commission orders regarding Snettisham already ensure that any disposition of Snettisham would be subject to prior Commission review and approval to protect the public interest.

Congressman Young's letter addressed the option agreement to purchase Snettisham from AIDEA held by Snettisham Electric Company ("SEC"), raising concerns that "a foreign governmental entity could 'hijack' [Snettisham] . . . and pledge, monetize or refinance this asset cashing in the equity at the US taxpayer and Alaskan ratepayer expense without recourse."¹⁰ SEC is an uncertificated, unregulated subsidiary of Alaska Energy and Resources Company ("AERC") that conducts no activity other than holding an option to purchase the Snettisham assets.¹¹ If the option to purchase Snettisham from AIDEA were in fact exercised at some point in the future by SEC (or any entity), the Commission would have ample opportunity to review the transaction and impose any appropriate conditions because AIDEA is a certificated utility. The Commission could also reject the transfer if it found that the transfer is not consistent with the public interest. In its order granting AIDEA a CPCN for its ownership of

⁸ See Order No. U-98-021(1) (Jul. 16, 1998).

¹⁰ Congressman Young Comments at 1.

¹¹ SEC was formed because in order for AIDEA's Snettisham bonds to be marketable, the purchase option had to be held by a "bankruptcy-remote" affiliate of AELP, rather than AELP itself, while the bonds remain outstanding. Order No. U-97-245(1), Appendix at 5.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 5 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

LAW OFFICES OF KEMPPEL, HUFFMAN AND ELLIS A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 255 E. FIREWEED LANE, SUITE 200 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2025 (907) 277-1604

 $^{^{9}}$ *Id.* at 3. While AIDEA is not subject to economic regulation by the Commission due to its status as a political subdivision, it is subject to Commission authority under AS 42.05.221 — 42.05.281 regarding its CPCN and authorization and obligation to provide public utility service. *See* AS 42.05.711(b).

Snettisham, the Commission specifically recognized that "[c]ertification of AIDEA will also provide regulatory review of AIDEA's disposition of Snettisham."¹² In fact, the Commission required AIDEA to obtain a CPCN for Snettisham in large part to ensure that the Commission would be able to address the concerns raised at the time related to a future disposition of Snettisham; those concerns were similar to those recently expressed by Congressman Young's letter.¹³

Thus, any concerns regarding the effects of a potential future transfer of Snettisham by AIDEA will be addressed by the Commission if and when such a transfer is proposed in proceedings involving either the transfer of AIDEA's CPCN or AIDEA's discontinuance of wholesale electric service to AELP. The Commission's review of such a transfer would be required regardless of whether the Applicants or some other entity, foreign or domestic, holds a controlling interest in AELP at that time.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- LAW OFFICES OF LAW OFFICES OF A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 255 E. FIREWEED LANE, SUITE 200 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2025 (907) 277-1604
- ¹² Order No. U-98-021(1) (Jul. 16, 1998) at 3. The Commission also required that the value of Snettisham for ratemaking purposes be based on the purchase price paid for Snettisham, not the higher net book value. *Id.* at 4; *id.*, Appendix at 5-6 (citing AS 42.05.441(b) and explaining that this ratemaking treatment addresses the concern that "if AIDEA, or AEL&P's affiliate, were to sell the project at a price higher than [the purchase price] but less than the federal government's original cost of the property minus depreciation, the seller would realize a significant gain and the purchaser may be able to use the higher price for rate making purposes. This could result in a rate increase so future ratepayers would not enjoy the continued benefit of the federal government disposing of Snettisham at less than book value.")

25

26

APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 6 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

¹³ See id., Appendix at 3-4 (stating that "Certificating AIDEA will address the concerns expressed in the comments centering on the sale or disposal of Snettisham by AIDEA.... As a certificated utility, in accordance with AS 42.05.281, AIDEA will not be able to transfer the certificate without prior approval of the Commission. This provides the Commission the opportunity to review the transaction to assure that it is in the public interest.").

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

C. An existing agreement between AELP and CBJ preserves Snettisham benefits.

The Applicants agree with Congressman Young's letter that the benefits of Snettisham should remain in Alaska. Beyond the statutory and regulatory protections already in place, AELP and the CBJ also took affirmative measures to protect the public's interest in Snettisham. In 1998, AELP and the CBJ entered into an agreement to preserve the Snettisham benefits for ratepayers within the CBJ, providing for two separate mechanisms to ensure that the local benefits of Snettisham remain protected.¹⁴

First, as long as AELP ratepayer loads within the CBJ continue to require Snettisham power, AELP is bound to dedicate Snettisham power to meet those loads.¹⁵ This provision is independent of whether AIDEA or AELP or its affiliates own Snettisham. If AELP or an affiliate acquires Snettisham from AIDEA, neither AELP nor the affiliate can sell Snettisham to any unaffiliated third party unless that third party also agrees to dedicate Snettisham power to meet ratepayer loads within the CBJ.¹⁶

Second, AELP granted the CBJ a right of first refusal, in the event that AELP or an affiliate acquires Snettisham from AIDEA and agrees to sell it to a third party.¹⁷ In such event, the CBJ would have the option to purchase Snettisham under the same terms and conditions as agreed to by a third party.¹⁸

APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 7 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

¹⁴ Agreement between the City & Borough of Juneau and Alaska Electric Light and Power, executed March 16, 1998. Attached as Exhibit A.

 $^{^{15}}$ Id. at 2, Section 3.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ *Id.* at Section 4.

¹⁸ Id.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

D. The Commission will retain full regulatory oversight of AELP.

The notion that Hydro One's transaction with Avista could somehow drive up costs to Juneau ratepayers for power from Snettisham is premised on misinformation. The Commission will continue to have authority over the electric rates in Juneau and will have continuing authority to disallow rate recovery for costs that are imprudent or unnecessary for providing service.

III. **Conclusion.**

The Applicants share the concerns expressed in the comments submitted by Congressman Young's office for the interests of the citizens of Juneau. Statutory, regulatory, and contractual safeguards already exist to ensure that the public interest will be protected if and when the Snettisham purchase option is ever exercised. For that reason, the Applicants 12 13 respectfully submit that it is not necessary or appropriate for the Commission to require divestiture of SEC's purchase option as a condition of approval of the Application in this docket.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of December, 2017.

K&L GATES, LLP Attorneys for Hydro One Limited

By: /s/ Dean D. Thompson for Elizabeth Thomas 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, Washington 98104-1158 Tel: (206) 623-7580 Facsimile: (206) 370-6190 E-mail: liz.thomas@klgates.com

APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 8 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

	1	AVISTA CORPORATION
	2	
	2	By: /s/ Dean D. Thompson for
	3	David J. Meyer Vice President and Chief Counsel for
	4	Regulatory and Governmental Affairs
	4	1411 E. Mission Avenue
	5	Spokane, Washington 99202
	6	Tel: (509) 495-4316
	0	Facsimile: (509) 495-8851 E-mail: david.meyer@avistacorp.com
	7	E-mail. david.meyer@avistacorp.com
	8	
		KEMPPEL, HUFFMAN AND ELLIS, P.C.
	9	Attorneys Avista Corporation
	10	By: /s/ Dean D. Thompson
		Dean D. Thompson, ABA 9810049
	11	255 E. Fireweed Lane, Suite 200
	12	Anchorage, Alaska 99503
		Tel: (907) 277-1604 Facsimile: (907) 276-2493
	13	E-mail: ddt@khe.com
	14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	15	
SIL	16	I hereby certify that on December 11, 2017, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following persons in the manner indicated below.
) ELI on :2025	17	KEMPPEL, HUFFMAN AND ELLIS, P.C.
ANI RATI SUIT 503-	18	By: /s/ Tina M. Torrey
	10	Tina M. Torrey, Legal Assistant
LAP NSK/ LAP 77-:	19	Congressman Don Young
/ OF IONA EED AL/ 7) 2	20	House of Representatives
HI HI Ress Ge, (90)	21	2314 Rayburn Building Washington, DC 20615
EL, Prof Iora	21	Via U.S.P.S. Regular Mail
	22	
KEMPP 255 e Anch	23	and
<u> </u>		Pamela Day, Chief of Staff for Congressman Young
	24	Via E-mail: pamela.day@mail.house.gov
	25	
	26	APPLICANTS' JOINT REPLY TO COMMENTS Docket U-17-097 December 11, 2017 Page 9 of 9 fs\AVISTA\U-17-097\Pleadings

Exhibit A

AGREEMENT BETWEEN

THE CITY & BOROUGH OF JUNEAU

AND

ALASKA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER

A. <u>Recitals</u>

1. The Government of the United States, acting by and through the Alaska Power Administration, built and currently owns the Snettisham Hydroelectric Project ("Snettisham"), which the Government of the United States has decided to sell to the Alaska Industrial Development & Export Authority ("AIDEA").

2. Alaska Electric Light And Power Company ("AELP") purchases electric power from Snettisham for resale to customers within the City & Borough of Juneau ("CBJ"), for whom Snettisham represents the primary source of electric power.

3. AIDEA will finance its purchase of Snettisham by issuing bonds that will be secured by AELP's take-or-pay commitment to purchase Snettisham power from AIDEA and pay the costs of AIDEA's Snettisham debt, including in potential circumstances in which Snettisham is not producing power.

4. AELP's Snettisham power purchase commitment to AIDEA and the Bond Trustee is set forth in a Power Sales Agreement ("PSA") and other documents related to the financing of AIDEA's proposed purchase of Snettisham.

5. The PSA requires the approval of the Alaska Public Utilities Commission ("APUC"), and AELP has requested that the CBJ adopt a resolution asking the APUC to grant such approval.

> Exhibit A Page 1 of 4

B. <u>Agreement</u>

1. <u>CBJ support</u>. The CBJ will promptly express to the APUC its support for approval of the PSA, and take such other steps as AELP or AIDEA may reasonably request to help assure AIDEA's ability to complete successfully the acquisition of Snettisham.

2. <u>Ratemaking treatment of Snettisham power costs</u>. So long as the PSA and the APUC's authority over AELP retail ratemaking both remain in effect, AELP as the purchaser of Snettisham power under the PSA will request that the APUC continue to treat as "purchased power expense" for retail ratemaking purposes all of AELP's costs of buying Snettisham power. If AELP purchases Snettisham and the APUC continues to regulate AELP's retail rates, then for ratemaking purposes AELP will seek to have the APUC treat Snettisham in the same manner as other generating resources that AELP owns.

3. <u>Preservation of Snettisham benefits</u>. So long as AELP ratepayer loads within the CBJ continue to require Snettisham power, AELP will dedicate Snettisham power to meet those loads. If AELP or an affiliate acquires Snettisham from AIDEA, then neither AELP nor the affiliate will thereafter sell Snettisham to any unaffiliated third party unless that third party also agrees to dedicate Snettisham power to meet ratepayer loads within the CBJ.

4. <u>CBJ's right of first refusal</u>. If AELP or an affiliate, having acquired Snettisham from AIDEA, ever agrees to sell Snettisham to any unaffiliated third party, then the CBJ shall have a right of first refusal to purchase Snettisham instead, under the same terms and conditions (including any assumption of risks and any refunding of outstanding debt) as

> Exhibit A Page 2 of 4

agreed to by such third party; <u>provided</u> that (a) such right shall be exercised within ninety (90) days, and the CBJ's purchase of Snettisham shall be completed within eighteen (18) months, of notification to the CBJ of a proposed sale of Snettisham to such third party, unless AELP and the CBJ agree to extend these deadlines; and (b) the CBJ's exercise of such right is consistent with then-existing Snettisham debt and AELP's then-existing obligations; <u>provided further</u>, that AELP shall consult with the CBJ from time to time with respect to AELP's plans regarding ownership of Snettisham.

5. <u>Enforcement</u>. This Agreement may be enforced only by the parties, and only through binding arbitration in accordance with rules of the American Arbitration Association. Each party shall bear its own costs in any such arbitration, unless the arbitration panel orders otherwise. The parties shall use their reasonable best efforts and shall cooperate in good faith to agree upon such procedures as may be necessary to allow the arbitration to proceed with promptness and efficiency.

C. <u>Effectiveness</u>

1. This Agreement shall become effective on the first date when (a) the Agreement has been executed by both parties, and (b) the CBJ has adopted for purposes of Alaska Statutes 44.88 a resolution substantially in the form of Attachment A hereto.

2. This Agreement shall cease to be effective if AIDEA has not acquired Snettisham on or before August 20, 1998, the deadline for this transaction established by Federal statute.

3. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Alaska.

Exhibit A Page 3 of 4 Monday March 16 version

ALASKA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER

-

.....

William A. Corbus, President

Date: March 16, 1998

CITY AND BOROUGH OF JUNEAU, ALASKA

Donna B. Pierce Acting City Manager

Date: March 16, 1995

Exhibit A Page 4 of 4